Foreword

We are delighted to present this second edition of Active Capital, in which we share our analysis, insight and opinions on the trends shaping global real estate.

Drawing on local expertise from across our global network, we explore the emerging capital superhighways, and identify the factors exerting the greatest gravitational pull on a country’s inbound capital flows, before turning to active purchasers and the property sectors on which they are focused.

This is a marketplace supported by a recovery in global growth that is still in full swing. It is one that is re-internationalising as it attracts ever-greater investor demand.

However, it is also a mature cycle in many locations and, with macroeconomic change on the horizon, we believe it has never been more important to understand both the nuances within the sector as well as the wider financial market context.

Knight Frank remains at the forefront of global capital markets. We hope you find this edition of Active Capital even more thought-provoking than its predecessor, and enjoy reading it as much as we enjoyed compiling it.

It has never been more important to understand both the nuances within the sector as well as the wider financial market context.
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Shifting capital flows

Globally, total real estate investment activity edged up by a modest 3% during 2017, belying a market that felt far more active, and with good reason: while volumes traded saw little change, the source, destination and even the rationale behind cross-border capital flows is evolving rapidly.

SHIFTING SOURCES

The global real estate market is re-internationalizing. In 2017, 32% of all transactions by volume involved cross-border purchases, up from 28% during 2009-2011. However, this isn’t simply a return to the levels and mix of pre-global financial crisis trade. While Europe and North America continue to invest similar volumes of capital abroad, for the first time ever they were eclipsed by Asia-Pacific, from which US$90 billion flowed in 2017.

Which region will be the greatest exporter of cross-border capital flows in 2018? As we explore later, a slowdown in outbound capital from China and Hong Kong might suggest that Asia will slip back temporarily. However, we believe the extent of this fall will be countered by potential for greater investment from Japan, South Korea and other major Asian markets. Much of this demand will emanate from mature institutions, used to acquiring assets globally, and more likely to consider opportunities beyond well-known gateway markets than investors looking overseas for the first time.

USinvestors will continue to acquire significant volumes of real estate overseas, although the impact of domestic tax changes will offer up compelling opportunities for investing at home. A similar situation will face European investors, who currently benefit from the prospect of strong returns in their local markets. Outbound capital from the Middle East has slowed in recent years, but this may be about to change as rising oil prices boost revenues and sovereign wealth inflows.

Although the overall volume of cross-border capital flows has changed little since 2016, there has been a clear shift in the type of investors active in the market. In volume terms, the biggest increase has come from private equity funds, which after a period building up dry powder, increased their cross-border investment by over 60% in 2017. We expect 2018 volumes will show them to be even more active: US$24 billion of fresh capital was raised in 2017, and many of the North American funds behind the largest of these pools have a global or European remit.

For the first time ever, Europe and North America were eclipsed by Asia-Pacific, from which US$90 billion flowed in 2017.

Cross-border capital outflows by source, 2017

Source: Knight Frank/RCA
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Long-term investors such as pension funds and sovereign wealth funds have also returned to the cross-border market at scale, the latter doubling investment in 2017 vs. 2016. This is part of a structural shift which has seen growth in capital flows from these investor groups far outstrip other investor types over the past decade.

The most significant fall in cross-border flows has been from real estate investment trusts and other listed property companies. The volume of assets purchased has fallen by around half over the past two years.

SHIFTING SOURCES

When it comes to cross-border capital inflows, the US, UK and Germany held the top three spots in 2017, as they have done since 2010. However, with a great variety of investors currently targeting continental European markets, it is no surprise to see the likes of Spain, France, Austria and the Netherlands rising up the ranks too. Continental markets will remain compelling, at despite strong pricing, opportunities to capture future rental growth remain.

Beyond the limelight, but no less interesting for that, are markets that are yet to see significant volumes of inbound real estate investment. These are countries where the overall volume of capital inflows remain relatively low, and volatile, but are ultimately growing very quickly. India is perhaps the standout example of recent years, with investment growing by 600% since 2012 to reach US$2.6 billion in 2017.

The next part of our research is all about analysing these trends, both at the macro and micro level. We begin by identifying the ‘capital superhighways’ - the main sources and destinations of real estate capital at a continental and country level. We then use our in-house gravity model (see page 13) to determine locations that we believe could be set for higher inbound investment.

Beyond the limelight, but no less interesting, are markets that are yet to see significant volumes of inbound real estate investment.

The evolving sources of cross-border capital

Source: Knight Frank/RCA
Mapping the capital superhighways

Our detailed analysis of capital flows begins by looking at where investment has taken place globally.

At the continental level, North America continues to see a greater volume of activity than anywhere else, although the vast majority is from domestic investors, with less than 15% of volume accounted for by purchasers from abroad.

By contrast, more than half of the investment that took place in Europe involved a buyer from a different country. Part of the reason for this is the high volume of cross-border trading that takes place between European countries: intra-continental trade in Europe reached US$65 billion in 2017. But that is far from the whole story. In particular, it is clear that Asia’s role is growing rapidly, both as a source of outbound capital flows, and as a destination for inward investment. Indeed, both Asia-Pacific investment into Europe and European investment into Asia-Pacific doubled during the year.

In the longer term, we predict the share of overseas investment in Asia-Pacific markets will gradually begin to catch up with that seen in Europe. However, in the short term, so strong is the focus from both Asia-Pacific and North American investors that Europe’s cross-border share could also continue to grow.
Cross-border flows from regions into countries
Where the country of origin is not the same as the country of investment

Cross-border flows from different types of investors
Excluding developments

While much cross-border activity takes place at the intra-continental level (such as Asian investment into China or Canada, or Canadian investment into the US), it is also clear that a number of markets have broad appeal to investors across the world. As we discuss on pages 12 to 15, our view is that this mix of countries will become more diverse over time.

**Active Capital 2018**

Cross-border flows from different types of investors

- **Developers**
  - United States, office
  - United States, apartment
  - United Kingdom, hotel
  - Germany, retail

- **Private equity**
  - Spain, apartment
  - Germany, office
  - Finland, office
  - Japan, office
  - China, office

- **Institutional investors**
  - United States, office
  - Germany, office
  - United Kingdom, office
  - Australia, hotel

- **Sovereign wealth funds**
  - United Kingdom, industrial
  - Germany, industrial
  - United States, office
  - France, industrial
  - Finland, industrial

A similar mix of markets is also targeted by private equity and sovereign wealth funds, but the difference is that rapid growth in the scale of purchases made by these investors makes the ranking of destinations increasingly volatile from year to year. Spanish apartments and UK industrial real estate topped their respective lists in 2017 largely due to a few multi-billion dollar platform transactions. Such activity will certainly remain a feature of the global market over the coming years, and will be exacerbated by the high volume of capital inflows that such funds are seeing.
The world of real estate is globalising. The volume of cross-border transactions has grown by 80% over the past five years, but that increase has been heavily concentrated within a limited number of locations. In fact, the top five countries by capital inflows have typically accounted for well over 60% of total cross-border investment over the decade. The UK has been the top destination for cross-border capital for six of the past ten years.

So why do relatively small, medium growth countries such as the UK attract more inbound capital than larger or faster growing rivals? The answers are well rehearsed: they benefit from a significant market size, with large and high quality assets, good levels of transparency, consistency in the rule of law, to name just a few. Of course there are also softer factors too, such as familiarity, and those often play an equally valid role in the choice of investment location, particularly for many first-time overseas investors.

But is there a danger that accepted notions of attractive investment markets overshadow more quantifiable data on factors such as demographic, economic and business environment rankings might suggest.

**The gravity model**

Gravity models are common in the field of international trade, helping to predict the flows between locations based on mainly economic factors, yet there has been little application of the technique to real estate investment. By testing the model with a large number of different inputs, we were able to refine it to the point where it explains 80% of the variation in annual investment flows between countries. As a check, investment volumes for the UK and UK - arguably the most developed cross-border market - were predicted to within a few per cent of actual levels. We explored around 40 variables for this model, carefully analysing the importance of each while bearing in mind factors such as the likely high level of correlation between the variables.

For this version of the model we focused on identifying the key factors that explained the most variation in direct real estate investment flows. Many of the factors that we initially identified were discarded due to high correlation with other key factors such as GINI coefficient (which shows wealth distribution), or using volume of flight routes between countries as opposed to a pure physical distance separation, were excluded as they were found to add no improvement to the existing model.

Interestingly, the income tax rate and the number of days it takes to complete a property deal were positively correlated with deal flow. Gravity models are common in the field of international trade, helping to predict the flows between locations based on mainly economic factors, yet there has been little application of the technique to real estate investment. By testing the model with a large number of different inputs, we were able to refine it to the point where it explains 80% of the variation in annual investment flows between countries. As a check, investment volumes for the UK and UK - arguably the most developed cross-border market - were predicted to within a few per cent of actual levels. We explored around 40 variables for this model, carefully analysing the importance of each while bearing in mind factors such as the likely high level of correlation between the variables.

For this version of the model we focused on identifying the key factors that explained the most variation in direct real estate investment flows. Many of the factors that we initially identified were discarded due to high correlation with other key factors such as GINI coefficient (which shows wealth distribution), or using volume of flight routes between countries as opposed to a pure physical distance separation, were excluded as they were found to add no improvement to the existing model.

Interestingly, the income tax rate and the number of days it takes to complete a property deal were positively correlated with deal flow. These variables are likely to be acting as proxies for other factors such as a country’s economic health or level of development in the eyes of an investor.

**THE GRAVITY MODEL**

The opportunity for additional inbound investment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North America</th>
<th>Countries: Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$1.4bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South and Central America</th>
<th>Countries: Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$4.5bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Western Europe (large)</th>
<th>Countries: Germany, France, Spain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$5.6bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Western Europe (small/medium)</th>
<th>Countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Ireland, Netherlands, Switzerland, Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$7.2bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Middle East</th>
<th>Countries: Israel, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$11.9bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging Asia</th>
<th>Countries: Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined potential additional annual cross-border inflows: US$3.1bn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are a number of regions that could see higher levels of cross-border inflows:

**FUTURE HOTSPOTS**

Comparing the volume of inflows predicted by the model with the actual volume of transactions seen in 2017 gives an idea of the theoretical potential for additional investment. The results showed that there are a number of countries and regions that might reasonably be expected to see significantly higher levels of cross-border inflows each year, based on the factors that typically drive inbound investment. In the graphic on the previous page, we have grouped these countries by region and indicated those combined potential for additional annual investment.

Of course, some markets have well-understood reasons for seeing a lower-than-expected volume of inbound investment. In Canada, for example, the high number of sophisticated, locally based global investors can effectively “crowd out” demand from foreign investors. Other countries operate constraints such as ownership restrictions, which preclude investment from non-domestic sources. Fundamentally, however, the model accords with our outlook for global capital flows. We do not envisage the demand for real estate investment to significantly reduce as the physical distance grew between two countries the flow of direct real estate investment between countries.

We found that the greatest dispersion between the origin and destination countries’ scores in the Index of Economic Freedom, the lower the investment between those countries. Not surprisingly, a country’s economic productivity and wealth were found to be linked to the volume of investment that was likely to be received by that country.

We found that the greater the dispersion between the origin and destination countries’ scores in the Index of Economic Freedom, the lower the investment between those countries. Currency fluctuations also played an important role in predicting the flows between countries. Countries that share a border with each other were more likely to see greater investment levels, which ties in with the finding that as the physical distance grew between two countries the flow of direct real estate investment fell.

We predict that the fixed or binary factors currently identified as drivers of investment, such as location, language and colonial ties, will become less important over time.

---

**THE MODEL IN DETAIL**

Past studies have tried to focus on analysing the total amount of direct real estate flows into countries, rather than on the flow of direct real estate investment between the origin country and destination country. We have used a spatial interaction model, also called a gravity model, to analyse these flows.

Such models have long been used to predict levels of cross-border investment and trade. They start from the premise that there are certain factors that can generate investment in one country, and certain factors that can draw that investment towards a specific destination. In addition, there is a cost, usually physical distance or a monetary cost, which goes with the measurable separation between origin and destination country.

For this particular research, which is part of a wider study, we have focused on the destination aspect of direct real estate investment flows by using an origin-constrained spatial interaction model. We were interested in identifying which factors best predict the flow of direct real estate investment as well as using the resulting model to analyse countries that were over- or under-invested in according to the model. We can then look at these countries to see if there are obvious reasons that explain the findings.

Data used in the model was sourced from the World Bank, The Heritage Foundation, MSCI and the CEPII research project.

The model produced a highly satisfactory outcome as it explained over 80% of the variation in investment flows. The final model found that shared ethnographic elements such as language, religion and a colonial history increased the amount of direct real estate investment between countries. Not surprisingly, a country’s economic productivity and wealth were found to be linked to the volume of investment that was likely to be received by that country.

We found that the greater the dispersion between the origin and destination countries’ scores in the Index of Economic Freedom, the lower the investment between those countries. Currency fluctuations also played an important role in predicting the flows between countries. Countries that share a border with each other were more likely to see greater investment levels, which ties in with the finding that as the physical distance grew between two countries the flow of direct real estate investment fell.

**WE FOUND THAT THE BEST PREDICTORS OF CROSS-BORDER INVESTMENT INTO A COUNTRY INCLUDED:**

- volume and growth of the destination country’s GDP per capita
- whether the destination country was in the European Union
- percentage of MSCI’s Real Estate Index coverage
- whether the destination country had been a colony of the origin country
- whether the countries were contiguous
- percentage of shared common religious worship amongst the population
- whether the countries shared an ethnographic language.

**ELEMENTS THAT WERE LINKED TO A REDUCED FLOW OF DIRECT REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT INTO A COUNTRY INCLUDED:**

- whether the currency of the destination country had fallen against that of the origin country in the last three years
- whether the Index of Economic Freedom score in the destination country is higher than that of the origin country
- the distance between population weighted centres within the country, with greater distance being linked to lower flow
- whether the destination country had been a coloniser of the origin country
- whether the origin and destination country had both been former colonies of the same country.

---

**THE GENERAL EQUATION** for a production constrained gravity model is:

\[ T_{ij} = A_i \cdot O_j \cdot W_{ij}^{\alpha} \cdot d_{ij}^{-\beta} \]

Where:

- \( T_{ij} \) is the direct real estate flow between origin country \( i \) and destination country \( j \)
- \( W_{ij} \) is a vector of attributes relating to the attractiveness or otherwise of all destination countries
- \( d_{ij} \) is the physical distance between the two countries, based on weighted population centres
- \( A_i \) is a matrix of coefficients to be estimated by the model.

**Where:**

- \( A_i \) is a balancing factor to ensure that the flow estimates from each origin sum to the known origin country totals.
- \( O_j \) is the direct real estate flow to a reduced flow of direct real estate to a country included.

As we are using Poisson regression to estimate our model we can transform the general equation by taking natural logarithms of each side to form the equation.

\[ \ln Y_{ij} = \ln \left( \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \exp (\alpha + \beta d_{ij}) + \ln W_{ij} \]

Where:

- \( Y_{ij} \) is the poisson distributed mean of direct real estate flows between countries \( i \) and \( j \)
- \( k \) is a constant
- \( \alpha \) is a fixed effect variable to ensure the flow estimates from each origin sum to the known origin country totals.
Titans at the gate: The rise of the real estate megafunds

The biggest private real estate funds are getting bigger, leaving a long tail of smaller rivals. What is fuelling this consolidation, and what does the pursuit of scale hold for the future?

AN INDUSTRY OF GIANTS

The private equity industry has thrived in recent years: since 2012 there has been over US$3.6 trillion of private capital raised. Traditional private equity, in the form of buyout funds, still represents the largest share of the market, but real estate is firmly in second place.

Real estate-focused private equity has seen its own rapid expansion during the global real estate recovery of the past eight years. Data from Preqin shows that at US$565 billion in mid-2017, assets under management have more than doubled since 2009, and while capital raising slowed in 2016 and 2017, this did not prevent the volume of dry powder (funds allocated to real estate but as yet unspent) from reaching a record US$266 billion at the end of March 2018. Today, numerous funds have upwards of US$10 billion under management.

WHY REAL ESTATE?

Real estate funds have attracted capital for a variety of reasons, including the promise of diversification benefits, as a means to put relatively inexpensive debt to work, and most obviously, the lure of healthy performance. In the three years to June 2017, private real estate funds saw annualised returns of 10.7%, outperforming all other types of private capital bar traditional private equity.

However, there are clear nuances among investor types. Institutions, such as pension funds, have sought to close a funding gap created or exacerbated in the years after the financial crisis. They have allocated capital to private real estate funds hoping for both strong returns and a greater degree of income stability than is offered by many competing asset classes. Others, such as family offices, value the privacy that private equity investment managers can offer, as well as the potential for less bureaucracy and faster deal-making as well as diversification.

The type of funds that have been successful in raising capital has evolved as the real estate cycle has matured. Today, with yields on directly held real estate at or near record lows in many developed markets, there is a recognition that the years of truly exceptional returns from property are over for now, at least in certain locations. Against this backdrop, some investors have sought to maintain expected performance by investing in vehicles that are further up the risk curve. In Q1 2018 only US$0.6 billion was raised for funds targeting core property, while over US$20 billion was raised for opportunistic funds.

Increasing risk has not been the only approach, however. Some investors have turned to real estate debt funds as a defensive play, reasoning that lenders are less exposed to the impact of asset value fluctuations than asset owners. Real estate debt funds raised over US$28 billion in 2017, the highest volume on record.

CONSOLIDATE TO DOMINATE

As well as changes driven by the timing of the real estate market cycle, there is a deeper structural shift at work: the consolidation of capital into larger and larger funds. The biggest funds are continuing to grow rapidly, creating a consolidation at the top, followed by a long tail of smaller funds.

In recent years, the number of real estate funds closed each quarter has declined, but the average volume of capital raised in these funds has risen: in 2010 the average was US$80.6 billion, but by Q1 2018 it had risen to US$690 million. What’s more, this growth has not been evenly distributed.
The majority of real estate investment still takes place in the country in which the fund is domiciled. However, in 44% the share of cross-border investment in 2017 was the highest since 2005. We expect this share to remain elevated, as North American funds are attracted to healthy returns on European assets and increasingly raising capital to deploy in Asian markets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital emanates from the US, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital has come from equity funds, but Asian funds are taking off, with 75% of the capital raising in 2017 coming from Asia.

As a result, the market share left over for smaller funds has seen fierce competition for capital.

The largest funds have continued to expand rapidly in size, creating a consolidation effect at one end of the scale, and a long tail of smaller funds at the other.

The trend towards larger funds has continued to expand rapidly in size, creating a consolidation effect at one end of the scale, and a long tail of smaller funds at the other.

The THE FUTURE: CHALLENGES OF SCALE

The majority of real estate investment in the US, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.

Although the bulk of cross-border capital was invested in European assets, in 2017 three-quarters of it was invested in European assets.
Wealth of nations: The impact of the changing order

The Wealth Report, published annually by Knight Frank, is the market-leading publication for understanding global wealth trends. These trends drive capital to commercial real estate either through direct asset acquisitions or indirectly through increasing allocations to asset managers. What does the latest report tell us about the quantum, routes and sources of demand for commercial real estate?

Wealth continues to grow at pace. The number of ultra-wealthy individuals with net assets of over US$50 million rose by 10% last year according to Wealth-X data prepared exclusively for our latest Wealth Report. This marks a noticeably stronger rate of expansion than in the previous five years, which recorded a cumulative 8% increase.

WHERE WILL THE MONEY COME FROM NEXT?

The next five years is expected to see a continuation of this recent return to growth, with the global population of ultra-wealthy forecast to rise by 40% over the period. This expansion in the population of UHNWIs will continue to be driven by North America, which will remain the world’s largest wealth region here, growth over the next five years is expected to be 38%, taking the population to just under 60,000.

However, Asia is catching up rapidly and the 55% increase expected over the next five years will continue to narrow the gap with the US. Indeed, despite a much-improved rate of growth in Europe last year, the region narrowly lost its second-place position to Asia in 2017. And with China expected to double its population of ultra-wealthy individuals by 2022 and strong growth in Japan (+41%), India (+71%), Indonesia (+66%) and Malaysia (+68%), it will now start to pull away from Europe.

At a country level, the US continues to dominate across all wealth bands, with a particularly significant dominance in the demi-billionaire space (US$500 million+), with 18,000 individuals versus the nearest closest region, China Mainland, at 490. This dominance will continue as far ahead as 2022, with the US forecast to remain the key driver of global wealth accumulation at 36% growth overall. However, this may prove to be conservative if the recent changes to corporation tax encourage more investment across the US.

WHERE IS THIS PRIVATE CAPITAL HEADING?

A key focus of The Wealth Report is to identify sources and destinations of private capital. This year, for the first time, the report includes analysis of newly released data from the Bank of International Settlements (BIS) on the levels of foreign deposits of “non-banks” in their financial institutions.

This provides a unique perspective on the movement of money around the globe and helps us to understand shifting capital flows that are likely to have an influence on real estate markets. In particular, it paints a picture of a very active flow of capital around the world, with foreign non-bank deposits rising by US$97 billion in the year to June 2017 in the 29 locations that provide detailed reporting.

As has been widely noted in the direct real estate markets, the movement of Chinese capital has been increasing rapidly. This is reflected in the BIS data, with Chinese funds deposited in reporting locations (i.e. outside China) rising by US$87.2 billion over the three years to June 2017. Interestingly, the impact of Chinese government policy can also be seen in the data, with Macau recently seeing a decline in deposits (down 10%)
The continued appetite for moving money and increasing investment cross-border shows no signs of abating. At the same time, governments are increasingly looking to monitor, if not influence, these flows.

Over the 12 months in June 2017, while Hong Kong has become increasingly popular with Chinese investors, up by US$9.5 billion in the same period, Indeed, the impact of legislation is becoming an increasingly important factor in the global movement of money. The introduction of the OECD-inspired Common Reporting Standard (CRS), launched in September 2017, for example, looks set to be a key influence on global capital flows over the next few years. The BIS data appears to show that countries not signed up to this regulation are attracting significant inflows. In particular, the US, a well-recognised global safe haven which has not adopted the CRS, saw non-bank deposits increase by US$12 billion over the three years ahead of its implementation, with a rise of US$90 billion in the 12 months to the end of June 2017 alone.

At the same time, commentators point to other traditional low-tax jurisdictions whose attractiveness is being eroded by the CRS and other transparency measures. Bahamian non-bank deposits fell by 25% in the last year for example, while deposits held in the Channel Islands also declined, with a 38% fall in Guernsey.

GROWING COMPLEXITY

The continued appetite for moving money and increasing investment cross-border shows no signs of abating. At the same time, governments are increasingly looking to monitor, if not influence, these flows. As well as the requirement for investment diversification, as investors become fully exposed to their local markets, there is an increasing number of regulatory reasons for this capital to move.

These include: the impact of capital controls on money movements out of countries such as China and India; the taxes on foreign buyers increasingly being implemented in a number of jurisdictions; the drive for more transparency by governments; and the attractiveness to some nationalities of swapping foreign direct investment in return for citizenship. Add in a push for more global tax revenue to be “on-shored” rather than held outside domestic tax regimes, plus the possibility of trade wars, and we expect capital to continue to move around the world at speed.

The ultrawealthy by country
Number of individuals worth US$500m+ in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>20,140</td>
<td>20,840</td>
<td>21,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>18,270</td>
<td>19,170</td>
<td>20,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>15,260</td>
<td>16,160</td>
<td>17,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>12,160</td>
<td>13,060</td>
<td>13,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>10,860</td>
<td>11,760</td>
<td>12,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>9,560</td>
<td>10,460</td>
<td>11,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>6,260</td>
<td>7,160</td>
<td>8,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>5,960</td>
<td>6,860</td>
<td>7,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>4,760</td>
<td>5,660</td>
<td>6,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>4,360</td>
<td>5,260</td>
<td>6,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>3,760</td>
<td>4,660</td>
<td>5,560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FUTURE TRENDS IN CAPITAL MOVEMENTS
This strong rate of wealth creation and accumulation will drive increasing demand for real estate investments through both direct asset purchases and also indirectly via deposits in pension funds, insurance vehicles and savings products. Indeed, as we discuss in our article on so-called megafunds (see page 18), the strong growth in wealth accumulation and the increasing ability of the asset management industry to capture a share of this growth is driving significant increases in assets under management and a rising requirement for real estate as part of these funds.

Over the next year we expect to see:

Increasing attractiveness of the US
Strong local wealth accumulation will support domestic demand for real estate investments and more of this capital may stay on-shore over the next few years. In addition, the BIS data shows just how attractive the US is currently to global depositors. We expect further increases in inflows from overseas depositors into the US, with positive economic growth and rising interest rates being supported by changes to the domestic tax regime that could also lead to a rise in foreign direct investment and, as the incentives for profit shifting are changed, more capital and intellectual property being repatriated that was previously being held offshore.

European renaissance
Expect the continued resurgence of strong buying activity from private European buyers as wealth growth momentum returns. Over the last year we have noted a new wave of European capital looking to invest outside their traditional markets, including many that are looking overseas for the first time, and expect this trend to gather momentum.

Asian expansion
Asian buyers continue to make waves on the world stage as wealth is amassed at pace. Capital controls may temper direct real estate buying by Chinese capital, as we have seen in the US in 2017, but the long-term trend remains one of global expansion, and other parts of Asia, such as Japan, Singapore and Malaysia are in expansion mode.

There’s a new wave of European capital looking to invest outside their traditional markets.
Take five: Asia-Pacific’s industrial and logistics markets

Growth in the sector has captivated investors across the world. Demand is particularly high in Asia-Pacific markets, driven by a rapidly evolving mix of factors: here, we take a look at some of the most important.

Global investment into industrial and logistics property has doubled over the past five years, reaching US$126 billion, according to data from RCA in 2017. A sector traditionally prized for its stable income has come to see dynamic capital growth, and in some markets logistics facilities now attract lower yields than retail property – almost unthinkable just a few years ago.

This evolution has been driven by exceptionally broad investor appetite for the sector, with demand ranging from private equity vehicles and institutional funds to private individuals and families. Platform and portfolio transactions have become increasingly common among the largest investors, such is the desire to gain exposure to the sector. Indeed, some of the largest real estate transactions of recent years have come via the sale of logistics platforms, with CIC’s circa US$14.5 billion purchase of Blackstone’s Logicor business the stand out example.

What is behind this insatiable demand for the sector? In Western markets, a stylised answer would highlight a structural rebalancing taking place, as changing consumer expectations increase retailer demand for modern distribution facilities. The relative scarcity of this stock is one reason why rental growth forecasts are healthy across much of Europe.

Asia-Pacific markets share many of these characteristics too: witness the lightning pace of e-commerce growth forecast until 2025 overleaf. But, as we explore in our five key trends, these locations face an arguably more complex mix of investment drivers, encompassing global trade, manufacturing growth and new infrastructure opportunities to name just a few.

Some of the largest real estate transactions of recent years have involved logistics platforms.
01 China moving up the value chain

China’s growth over the last 30 years has been largely based on being the workshop of the world, relying on low-cost labour and often heavy manufacturing. However, as crystallised in the “Made in China 2025” industrial strategy launched in 2015, policy makers are making a concerted effort to accelerate the country’s move up the value chain. Known as the Chinese version of Germany’s “Industrie 4.0”, the aim is for China to compete globally in manufacturing innovative technologies. In terms of the impact on the built environment, there will be more investment in high tech business parks, a continued drive to upgrade existing industrial sites and more investment in modern logistics facilities, while some of the older brownfield manufacturing areas, especially in the country’s rust belt, could begin to be targeted in a nascent regeneration strategy. Externally, “Made in China 2025”, along with the rising cost of labour is already pushing a number of major international manufacturers into lower-cost locations, especially in South-East Asia.

02 E-commerce in South-East Asia

With a challenging fragmented market, a lack of easy online payment methods, and a strong shopping mall culture, e-commerce in South-East Asia has not had the same penetration as some other regions. However, while the story of e-commerce in China, home to the world’s largest online retail market, is well documented, the potential in a region of 650 million consumers and a rapidly growing middle class must not be overlooked. The significant investments of the major Chinese e-commerce giants, Alibaba and Tencent, into South-East Asia over recent months have brought the region into sharper focus, and with cross-border payment solutions being introduced, the scope for growth is significant. As with other markets, as more retail malls online, the growth in demand for modern logistics warehousing around major urban centres and transport axes will be a strong trend going forward.

03 Belt and Road to shift manufacturing and spur logistics markets

Launched in 2013, China’s flagship Belt and Road Initiative is already having an impact on markets across the Eurasian continent. Spanning more than 70 countries in Asia, Africa, the Middle East and Europe, the BRI has directed significant investment into ports, railways, highways, power plants and economic zones to the benefit of destination markets and Chinese contractors. While the initial investment is focused on infrastructure, the initiative is likely to help encourage the movement of low-cost manufacturing towards parts of South-East Asia and Africa, while new transport corridors will provide significant opportunities in the logistics sector as supply chains are upgraded.

Varying levels of institutional effectiveness and market risks coupled with the sheer scale of the vision mean that progress will likely be patchy and opportunities staggered, although South-East Asian markets, in particular Thailand, Malaysia and Cambodia, are already seeing an uptick in interest across these sectors amongst Chinese and international manufacturers and real estate developers.

04 Indian tax changes helping modernise the logistics sector

The Goods and Services Tax (GST), regarded as the biggest tax reform in the history of independent India, was rolled out in 2017. The long-awaited move has led to the replacement of numerous federal and state taxes and has brought about significant uniformity and certainty in the Indian market. Prior to the GST regime, the same products were sold at different prices across state borders owing to this lack of uniformity in the tax structure. GST has helped eliminate these price differentials and thus created a level playing field.

In the logistics and supply chain industry, this is already having a noticeable impact. First, the removal of check-points has led to the faster movement of goods, which is leading to reduced inventory holding levels. Reduced inventory levels directly impact the requirement for warehousing space and facilitate the growth of fewer, larger warehouses that allow companies to leverage enhanced economies of scale. Subsequently, in a very fragmented market, developers and logistics players have started to look at warehouse consolidation. All of these changes are attracting increasing interest from investors keen to tap into growth in the second most populous market in the world.

05 Trade tensions

2018 has been marked by fluctuating trade tensions, especially between the US and China. The threat of escalation is forcing some businesses to review their strategies and risk assessment. Major manufacturers who could potentially face increased tariffs if the situation deteriorates could look at adjusting their supply chains, with many already looking at contingencies in case the situation worsens. This can have an impact in a number of ways, with possible reshoring or assembling components in different markets to reduce risk. While a deteriorating situation could have a knock-on effect on a number of Asia-Pacific economies, political manoeuvring could resolve the situation or potentially see increasing engagement on other multilateral trade deals.
Building the world

Growing demand from investors for international properties has been one of the defining features of the world’s leading urban housing markets over recent years. And, where investors lead, developers follow.

As real estate investment becomes progressively more global, so does the activity of developers. The strength of this trend is confirmed by the fact that the level of cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites across the world has increased each year since 2014, rising by 26% in 2017 alone.

International developers are playing an increasingly influential role in the world’s prime residential markets. In itself, this process is not new – for example, many Hong Kong and Singapore-based developers have been operating outside of their domestic markets for decades.

However, the volume of activity has changed up a gear with the advent of a new push from developers to target the world’s “gateway markets”, in particular London, New York, Singapore and Sydney.

In recent years – a leading Indian based developer has focused on London; a Shanghai developer has targeted Los Angeles, New York, London and Sydney; while Malaysian developers have been building in Melbourne, London and Singapore.

While some international developers are buying sites to develop themselves, others are embarking on joint ventures with domestic developers. For example, in London there are active developments by a consortium of UK, Singapore and Malaysian developers.

International businesses are not only active in the development space as sole developers or through joint ventures, but also through the funding of developments. Investment can come from financial institutions (including pension funds, insurance companies and banks), sovereign wealth funds or wealthy individuals.

Globally, the level of cross-border acquisitions hit its highest level in 2017, having risen by 90% since 2014. As real estate investment becomes progressively more global, so does the activity of developers. The strength of this trend is confirmed by the fact that the level of cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites across the world has increased each year since 2014, rising by 26% in 2017 alone.

International developers are playing an increasingly influential role in the world’s prime residential markets. In itself, this process is not new – for example, many Hong Kong and Singapore-based developers have been operating outside of their domestic markets for decades.

However, the volume of activity has changed up a gear with the advent of a new push from developers to target the world’s “gateway markets”, in particular London, New York, Singapore and Sydney.

In recent years – a leading Indian based developer has focused on London; a Shanghai developer has targeted Los Angeles, New York, London and Sydney; while Malaysian developers have been building in Melbourne, London and Singapore.

While some international developers are buying sites to develop themselves, others are embarking on joint ventures with domestic developers. For example, in London there are active developments by a consortium of UK, Singapore and Malaysian developers. International businesses are not only active in the development space as sole developers or through joint ventures, but also through the funding of developments. Investment can come from financial institutions (including pension funds, insurance companies and banks), sovereign wealth funds or wealthy individuals.

Globally, the level of cross-border acquisitions hit its highest level in 2017, having risen by 90% since 2014.
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THE GLOBAL PICTURE

Cross-border investment is nothing if not volatile. To understand this trend in more detail we have analysed cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites in a selection of key global cities, from each region, over the past four years.

Globally, the level of cross-border acquisitions hit its highest level in 2017, having risen by 90% since 2014. Despite this new global high, and perhaps reflecting their position at the forefront of this trend, cross-border activity in London, New York, Singapore and Sydney has seen volatility in recent years.

This volatility can partially be attributed to slower markets in 2016 and 2017, and also the expansion of cross-border development activity into other global cities, such as Los Angeles.

At the same time, tighter capital controls in mainland China have led to more scrutiny of potential high-profile investments.

In New York, cross-border acquisitions have declined over the past two years. However, in the wake of tax reforms at the end of 2017, the attractiveness of New York to international developers has risen and we expect activity to start to pick up again.

Activity in London has swayed between two extremes. In 2015 it was the only market to see a year-on-year decline in cross-border acquisitions, with a fall of 26%. However, in 2017 cross-border acquisitions increased 82% to a new peak. We expect this trend to continue with a significant volume of activity seen in the first quarter of 2018.

In Sydney, it appears that the recently imposed restrictions on foreign buyers, which limit the ability of overseas developers to effectively market projects in their home countries, have started to take hold, with acquisitions falling 83% between 2016 and 2017.

Singapore has recently seen a surge in activity as year-on-year cross-border acquisitions increased by 204% in 2017. This could be attributed to the housing market’s recent resurgence following the cooling measures that were introduced by the government four years ago. This trend is set to continue; in the first quarter of 2018, cross-border activity in Singapore equated to almost two-thirds of the 2017 total.

TOP PLAYERS

Not only are overseas developers active in these markets; they have become some of the cities’ biggest players.

Despite the decline in activity noted above, overseas developers have maintained a tight grip in Sydney. Of the top 20 players in the city’s residential development sector, over half were international, with YMC (China) leading the charge. There were a further nine Chinese entities – four of them in the top ten – and one

Globally, the level of cross-border acquisitions hit its highest level in 2017, having risen by 90% since 2014.
Japanese. Looking ahead, the prominence of overseas investors is likely to continue, with the recent purchase by Yuhe Group of two Australian projects from Wanda Group, another Chinese developer, for a reported A$1.13 billion.

The London market has the second highest presence of international developers and the most diverse mix, with Chinese, Hong Kong, Singaporean and US firms all represented in the top 20. Together, these international developers occupy seven of the top 20 slots, including the first and second positions.

The less global nature of the market in New York and Singapore is reflected in the data with, respectively, only 25% and 15% of the top 20 players in those cities being international.

**UNDER CONSTRUCTION**

It is one thing to understand where developers are buying sites, but how many of these are being developed out and sold? We examined data from the beginning of 2017 and the beginning of 2018 to understand how many large-scale developments were being constructed or sold by international entities.

The data show us that London has the highest proportion of under-construction or uncompleted developments by international entities. At the end of 2017, 44% of large developments in central London were known to be being developed or funded by international means, compared with 33% in 2016, reflecting the growth of cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites.

Developments in central London also had the largest diversity of international developers and investors, with ten nationalities present, echoing the story with site investment. The top nationalities for construction activity were Hong Kong and United Arab Emirates, who were each involved with 15% of the international developments at the end of 2017, growing their shares from 13% a year earlier. Although cross-border investment in residential development sites in Sydney has decreased in the past year, the proportion of schemes by international developers increased. Between the beginning of 2017 and the beginning of 2018 the proportion rose from 15% to developments to 20%.

New York tells a similar story. Here, the proportion of projects by international developers increased even though cross-border investment in residential development sites decreased. In the primary development area of Manhattan, international developers were involved with 8.5% of large developments in Q1 2017, yet by the beginning of 2018 this had risen to 11.5%.

The most prominent international developer nationality for both Sydney and New York is Chinese, followed by Singaporean. In New York, Chinese developers were involved, either solely or through joint ventures, in 50% of international developer projects at the beginning of 2018. For Sydney, the proportion is much higher at 83%.

**PUSH AND PULL**

Some of the main push factors driving this growth in global developments relate to domestic market conditions. In Singapore, for example, the market is relatively small so to generate increased growth investors companies. According to Booth, R. 2017. “The Guardian.” Cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites

At the end of 2017, 41% of large developments in central London were being developed or funded by international means, compared with 33% in 2016.

**PositivE PRoPERS**

This knowledge helps to increase the quality of their developments and to leverage capital markets rather than just bank loans. This is a particular priority for mainland Chinese developers who can now take these lessons into China where consumers are becoming more sophisticated in terms of their property requirements, prompting large-scale regeneration in certain locations.

**PuSh AND PuLL**

Cross-border acquisitions and developments are becoming more and more important in the global development space. Globally, activity is increasing but, as we have seen, there can be considerable volatility within individual markets. As overseas developers and institutions establish themselves in key gateway cities they are investing further afield into other markets.

This growing globalisation of developments has been an important factor in helping to support supply in many cities, keeping markets buoyant and injecting funds into domestic developers when needed.

This has been particularly evident in London, where mayor Saqiq Khan has said “international investment plays a vital role in providing developers with the certainty and finance they need to increase the supply of homes and infrastructure for Londoners.”

To date, this has not been the case in Australia but it could be set to become a more significant factor in the future supply of housing as tighter funding constraints, which came into full force in 2015, have meant that many local developers struggle to obtain funds.

Finally, the increasing presence of international developers in key markets has not only meant that they can learn new practices to take to other markets, but also means there is opportunity for them to share new techniques, different ideas and expertise. This confluence of ideas can help to broaden the variety of stock, as well as having the potential to improve productivity in the development sphere.

**Push and Pull**

The proportion of the top 20 developers that are international

**Cross-border acquisitions of residential development sites**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>World</th>
<th>London</th>
<th>New York</th>
<th>Sydney</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Under construction**

Proportion of large developments that are knowingly being developed or funded by international entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New York</th>
<th>London</th>
<th>Sydney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revealed from Knight Frank’s Knight Frank/RCA Global Markets Report, 2018**

1  While the data we have gathered represents a cogent dataset, we are unable to do the exact level of development space. Globally, activity is increasing but, as we have seen, there can be considerable volatility within individual markets. As overseas developers and institutions establish themselves in key gateway cities they are investing further afield into other markets.

2  Although cross-border investment in residential development sites in Sydney has decreased in the past year, the proportion of schemes by international developers increased. Between the beginning of 2017 and the beginning of 2018 the proportion rose from 15% to developments to 20%.
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As the real estate cycle extends, some investors are choosing to move up the risk curve in search of return.

Strategic direction

How do we see the outlook for global real estate evolving, and what are the themes that will underpin the market in the longer term?

As we discuss in The environment for global investment (pages 36 – 37), the macroeconomic backdrop is at the early stages of significant change across many fronts: the recovery begins to approach its zenith in much of the world; money markets react to the end of a 40-year decline in interest rates; and central banks gradually move to unwind balance sheets.

Politics, sometimes regarded as a sideshow by economists, is proving to be anything but for real estate, with taxation, anti-corruption measures and state diktats just some of the levers being used to redirect the global flow of real estate capital, whether intentionally or not.

More positively, newfound stability in some of the world’s largest economies combined with the long-anticipated rise of the emerging middle classes is set to unlock huge demand for pensions and insurance products, with a corresponding need to invest the savings thus accrued. Meanwhile, the equivalent vehicles in developed markets are continuing a structural reweighting towards real estate, and recent volatility spikes in equity and bond markets are likely to have strengthened their resolve.

Left: Shibuya Crossing, Tokyo
Cross-border activity will continue to increase

Our view is that, in the short term, the overall volume of global real estate investment will continue to fluctuate within the relatively narrow range seen over the past three years, with around US$1 trillion representing the recent high point. This is somewhat lower than the peak volumes recorded prior to the global financial crisis. However, the more interesting point is that the proportion of this total that relates to cross-border activity is rising. What’s more, we expect this trend to continue for a number of reasons:

- As the real estate cycle extends, some investors are choosing to move up the risk curve in search of return and for some, this entails looking beyond the confines of their domestic markets.

- Many of the sovereign wealth funds and much of the emerging private wealth are being created in locations without a deep or transparent local real estate market, making overseas investment the only practical option.

- While much of the world’s real estate remains uninvestible even in mature economies, liquidity is being enhanced by the likes of private equity funds and listed real estate investment trusts (REITs), which assemble, manage, and ultimately realise assets or portfolios.

So, where will this investment be directed? It’s no secret that a significant share of cross-border capital to date has been focussed on continental European markets, and we do not expect this to change fundamentally in the short term. Despite rising pricing, the combination of healthy rental growth prospects underpinned by stable real interest rates and stability will remain attractive to investors. Indeed, our gravity model (page 13) suggests that the fundamentals of some of the largest European markets warrant additional cross-border inflows. Nevertheless, some of the most rapid growth in inflows continues to be found in emerging real estate markets. Again, our gravity model predicts potential for additional investment in fast growing markets in emerging Asia, as well as middle-income regions of south and central America.

Demanding Investors

Institutional investors with long-term liabilities will remain one of the key forces in global real estate investment, with INREV’s capital raising survey indicating that global pension funds accounted for over 35% of capital raised for non-listed funds in 2017, followed by insurance companies with 13.2%.

Whether investing directly though their own real estate funds and vehicles, or indirectly via third parties such as private equity funds, their pursuit of performance in order to make up the ground lost following the global financial crisis remains undiminished. In the longer term, we expect institutions to continue to gradually ratchet up allocations towards real estate, not only in light of the strength of recent returns, but also with a view towards cautious capital preservation.

Indeed, another sign of such caution is the proliferation of real estate debt funds. This follows the logic that (as long as loan-to-value ratios are sensible), lending to real estate is less risky than owning it, if there is any question over the direction of pricing. Does this mean that the market is at risk of returning to unhealthy levels of debt provision? Not necessarily. If anything, debt in real estate overall remains low prevalent, especially taking into account the lack of a liquid commercial mortgage-backed securities market in most countries or the reduction in debt held by major REITs. However, among funds at least, the appetite to lend has returned, and ultimately this represents an additional driver of demand.

We expect that the coming years will see the reactivation of mandates from a number of regions with significant investment firepower. The re-emergence of Japan as a major purchaser of non-domestic real estate, meaning that when they decide to do so again, their focus will not necessarily be on the locations and sectors they decide to buy

Pricing: a theory of relativity

With yields reaching record lows in many developed markets, the issue of pricing has become hotter than ever. There is logic to the view that real estate yields are low simply because both central bank interest rates and yields on much larger asset classes, such as bonds, are also low. Applying the same logic in reverse, should rising interest rates therefore indicate rising yields? Ultimately, yes but the relationship is not so strong that real estate yields will move in lockstep with interest rates or bond yields. For a start, the gap between real estate yields and bond yields remains large enough to offer a significant cushion—bond rates can rise by many tens or even hundreds of basis points before the impact on real estate yields is felt.

The US is a case in point. Of the world’s major central banks, the Federal Reserve has gone furthest towards normalising interest rates, raising the target rate by 150 basis points since the end of 2015, during which time 10-year treasuries have moved from just over 2% to above 3%. Meanwhile, yields on US real estate have remained largely stable.

A broader mix of assets in play

Office and residential property has been the mainstay of cross-border investment over the past decade, but in the longer term we expect that capital will be directed towards a broader mix of real estate. The logistics sector provides the roadmap for this transition: a sector once largely dominated by domestic investors has, with the combination of a compelling demand story and healthy return prospects, become coveted by the world’s largest cross-border investors. The catalyst was the creation of portfolios and platforms of significant scale, undertaken by private equity and institutional funds. Which sectors will the next wave of internationalisation take in? Despite seeing increasing volumes of domestic investment, sectors such as the private rented sector, retirement living, healthcare and student housing currently account for smaller volumes of global cross-border transactions. However, they all offer the key ingredient of structural occupier demand, which ultimately drives return. They will be attractive sectors for investors who can access these markets with sufficient scale, either through platform acquisitions or portfolio creation.
The environment for global investment

In the last decade, there have been few opportunities for economists to voice genuine optimism on the long-term outlook. However, 2018 has the sense of spring-moving-into-summer about it, with a broadly improving economy around the globe tempting investors to consider more risky strategies.

It would be wrong to assume, though, that there are no clouds on the horizon. In most advanced economies, interest rates are set to rise, although investors can mitigate the impact by targeting higher yielding assets. In short, the risks today are manageable, not systemic – creating an environment that will draw more capital into the market.

WORLDWIDE RECOVERY

The outlook for the global economy is for robust growth this year, with the IMF predicting that world GDP will increase by 3.9% in 2018, compared with a ten-year average figure of 3.4% (see chart page 37). This is in line with our view that 2017 marked the start of cyclical upturn for the global economy, following a slowdown in 2015/16, when low commodity prices hit emerging markets.

Growth will not, however, be spread evenly as the new cycle is at different stages around the world. Forecasts suggest that we will see huge disparities among different emerging markets. Latin America is predicted to see post-2% growth in 2018, which is less than the 2.5% figure for advanced economies. In contrast, emerging Asia is forecast to record growth of 6.5%, as the likes of China and India continue to moderate. If you arrange the letters according to future growth prospects, rather than the BRICs we should be talking about the ICBRs.

CHINA AND INDIA

Certainly, there is good reason for an upbeat outlook for emerging Asia. In 2017, India had to negotiate some disruptive forces, such as the withdrawal of certain banknotes and the introduction of the new Goods and Services Tax. Both will have a modernising effect in the long run, but for 2018 there is the promise of less disruption. In China, President Xi has been confirmed in office, while pressure on the renminbi has eased.

Moreover, both nations are making a big impact in the growing digital economy. In some respects, and in certain regions, China appears to be overtaking the West in the latest wave of e-commerce and mobile apps. Consequently, China and India should provide opportunities for property investors in 2018, although traditionally both markets have been dominated by domestic money.

ADVANCED OR EMERGING?

However, international property investors will probably remain focused on advanced economies in 2018. Even though the IMF’s percentage growth forecasts for the developed nations are relatively low, it is well to remember this is expansion off a high base. In total dollars, 2.5% GDP growth in the advanced economies is far more money than 6.5% for emerging Asia (see chart on the right).

Also, more Asian money is appearing in the global cross-border property market, and usually it is seeking diversification away from the Asia-Pacific region. The advanced economies also have the attraction of higher levels of market transparency, and free movement of capital.

RATES ON THE RISE

However, the changing rate environment in the advanced economies might encourage investors to ask themselves: should we buy prime or create it, via development or asset management? Stock markets corrected and bond yields rose in early 2018 as investors acknowledged that the era of exceptionally loose monetary policy is ending. The US ten-year bond yield began 2018 at 2.5%, but had reached 3% by late April. There is debate as to how quickly central banks will raise rates and to what level, but no one disputes that the long-term trajectory is upwards.

Against such a backdrop investors will probably seek higher returns, and low-yielding assets could now be viewed as less attractive, unless the buyer is seeking wealth preservation. Attention could switch to those cities with a track record of delivering rental growth, with investors perhaps favouring multi-let buildings with a spread of upcoming lease expiries, thus offering exposure to the rental cycle. Investors might also look to cities such as London, where the market may be over-estimating the level of political risk.

In summary, 2018 is a year when the global economy is on course to deliver robust growth, and this will require investors to be more proactive in their strategies. The ride-the-yield-compression period is now behind us as rates start to rise, and investors need to experiment with new markets, different locations, and develop with an eye on new occupier trends such as flexibility. The economy will deliver opportunities going forward, but investors need to go looking for them.

Forecast GDP (%) growth vs. ten-year average

Source: IMF

The economy will deliver opportunities going forward, but investors need to go looking for them.

Forecast GDP growth in US$ 2018

Source: IMF

Investors might also look to cities like London, where the market may be over-estimating the level of political risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advanced economies</th>
<th>Emerging Asia</th>
<th>Emerging Europe</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>Middle East &amp; North Africa</th>
<th>Sub-Saharan Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The table above shows the forecast GDP growth in US$ for different regions in 2018 and the ten-year average. It highlights the expected growth in emerging economies compared to advanced economies, with emerging Asia showing particularly strong growth.
Risk radar

Knight Frank’s Chief Economist highlights the key risks facing the global economy and the property market in 2018 and beyond.

By the standards of recent years, the risks currently facing the economy and property investors feel relatively moderate. However, this should not lull anyone into a false sense of security. Risks remain, and while some grab headlines others keep a lower profile. Here is our selection of the key risks we believe investors should be monitoring this year, scored on a scale of one to five for both impact and likelihood, with five being highest in both cases.

Interest rates

Impact 2.0 | Likelihood 4.5

Leading economies such as the US, Canada and the UK have already begun raising their policy interest rates, while debate is ongoing over when the euro zone will end its quantitative easing programme. Bond yields for advanced nations are trending higher in anticipation of a higher rate environment – in early May, the Canadian ten-year bond yield reached 2.4%, up from 1.5% a year earlier. Few would dispute that the era of ultra-low interest rates is coming to an end.

However, central banks have indicated that they intend to raise rates gradually, not abruptly. Also, some commentators say that the Federal Reserve and the Bank of England are guiding hawkish but acting dovish, thus using expectations to deter excessive borrowing. Moreover, across global real estate markets, property yields are offering a significant spread over government bonds, which should absorb some of the impact of future rate increases.

Trade barriers

Impact 4.0 | Likelihood 2.0

The threat of protectionism is on the rise in the global economy, which could mean higher costs for businesses, reduced cross-border investments and exchange rate volatility. Over 30 countries who currently trade with the UK via EU free trade agreements will have to agree interim arrangements as a result of Brexit. This would be overshadowed by the disruption to the UK and the EU were there to be a hard Brexit. The US government’s recent tariffs on Chinese goods have, unsurprisingly, prompted retaliation. Progress has been made towards a transition period for UK/EU trade after Brexit. This may suggest there are signs of compromise emerging, although the situation remains highly fluid and politically charged.

The disruptors are disrupted

Impact 2.5 | Likelihood 3.5

The US technology sector likes to talk about disruption; however, there are signs that they may be about to be on the receiving end. China is seeing major cities pivoting from manufacturing to hi-tech, while its people have embraced digital apps. Up until now, US tech firms have led the digital revolution, but Chinese firms are now arriving in the West. Silicon Valley’s giants face this new wave of overseas competition just as public criticism is growing on issues like privacy and tax.

In the long term, this pressure on the tech establishment will be healthy, as competition leads to innovation. However, in 2018/19 we could see US tech firms, which in recent years have been a major source of global demand for offices and warehouses, reviewing their operations. Time will tell whether new demand from young Chinese tech firms arriving in the West will outweigh any cuts from the US firms.

Labour shortages

Impact 3.0 | Likelihood 4.0

In the aftermath of the Brexit vote, there was a widespread expectation that the UK would see mass job losses. In fact, the unemployment rate has fallen to a 43-year low of 4.2% since the referendum, and there are concerns that Brexit will lead to labour shortages across the skill levels. In other major economies, companies face similar problems recruiting staff. At the time of writing, the unemployment rate is 4.1% in the US, 4.0% in China, 3.5% in Germany and 2.5% in Japan.

The risk for the global economy is that firms will struggle to recruit, which could act as a brake on growth. We see firms responding to labour shortages with greater automation, although in the short term there could be upside for property as firms seek better-quality and well-located offices to increase staff retention.

Some commentators say that the Federal Reserve and the Bank of England are guiding hawkish but acting dovish, thus using expectations to deter excessive borrowing.
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418 OFFICES
60 TERRITORIES
15,020 PEOPLE

DEBT FREE & INDEPENDENT

15 Territories
Argentina / Brazil
Canada / Chile / Colombia
Costa Rica / Dominican Republic
Mexico / Peru / Puerto Rico
The Caribbean of / USA

16 Territories
Austria / Belgium / Cyprus
Czech Republic / France
Germany / Ireland / Italy
Monaco / The Netherlands
Poland / Portugal / Romania
Russia / Spain / Switzerland

The Americas
15 Territories
Argentina / Brazil
Canada / Chile / Colombia
Costa Rica / Dominican Republic
Mexico / Peru / Puerto Rico
The Caribbean of / USA

United Kingdom

Continental Europe
16 Territories
Austria / Belgium / Cyprus
Czech Republic / France
Germany / Ireland / Italy
Monaco / The Netherlands
Poland / Portugal / Romania
Russia / Spain / Switzerland

The Middle East
2 Territories
The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
The United Arab Emirates

Asia-Pacific
14 Territories
Australia / Cambodia / China
Hong Kong / India / Indonesia
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New Zealand / Philippines
Singapore / South Korea
Taiwan / Thailand

KNIGHT FRANK TRANSACTION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Territory/Region</th>
<th>Offices</th>
<th>People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental Europe</td>
<td>16 Territories</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Americas</td>
<td>15 Territories</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>5,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>14 Territories</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Middle East</td>
<td>2 Territories</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>10 Territories</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
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<td>1,035</td>
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<tr>
<td>The Middle East</td>
<td>2 Territories</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>10 Territories</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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KNIGHT FRANK
TRANSACTION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transactions</th>
<th>US$</th>
<th>£</th>
<th>€</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Land and buildings valued</td>
<td>1,418 billion</td>
<td>945 billion</td>
<td>1,284 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental Europe</td>
<td>Commercial sales and purchases</td>
<td>76 billion</td>
<td>50 billion</td>
<td>69 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Americas</td>
<td>Residential sales and purchases</td>
<td>16 billion</td>
<td>13 billion</td>
<td>16 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>Commercial space let and acquired</td>
<td>62 million</td>
<td>729 million</td>
<td>786 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Middle East</td>
<td>Commercial space being marketed at the year end</td>
<td>36 million</td>
<td>46 million</td>
<td>54 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Total space managed</td>
<td>23 million</td>
<td>265 million</td>
<td>315 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currency conversion as at 31 March 2017

40 | KNIGHTFRANK.COM

KNIGHTFRANK.COM | 41
About the Knight Frank

Global Capital Markets business

Connecting people & property, perfectly.

Global Capital Markets
Knight Frank is an independently owned real estate consultancy, operating globally, advising on both residential and commercial real estate. Our Global Capital Markets Group has a reputation for acting on the most high profile property transactions around the world.

Our teams work on a daily basis with sector experts around the world, giving investors access to stock, the right client base and strategically from four global hubs: London, New York, Singapore and Dubai; each hub has coverage of the office, residential, retail, industrial, leisure and hotel sectors, as well as the specialist areas of student property and healthcare.

Excellent information sharing and market intelligence ensure clients receive the optimum advice. Establishing good relationships is absolutely essential. Rapport and trust are crucial.

We seek to build strong, lasting relationships with our clients, providing consistently high levels of personalised service and advice. We have a record of integrity.

HOW WE CAN HELP YOU
The Global Capital Markets Group operates strategically from four global hubs: London, New York, Singapore and Dubai; each hub has an extensive regional network behind it.

Our teams work on a daily basis with sector experts around the world, giving investors access to up-to-date intelligence and transaction opportunities in key global investment markets.

We seek to build strong, lasting relationships with our clients, providing consistently high levels of personalised service and advice. We have a record of integrity.